INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

(none)

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Pros. Def. No. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
614	Telegram dated 5 June 1939 from the German Ambassador to the German State Secretary		6792
61.5	Article Published in Vol. IV of the August 1940 issue of the Tokyo Gazette on the subject of French Indo-China	6794	
615 <b>-</b> A	Excerpt therefrom		6794
616	Business Report for the Year 1939 published in December 1941 by the South Seas Bureau re the China Affair and French Indo-China	6801	
616-A	Excerpt therefrom		6801
617	Resolution Adopted by the Assembly of the League of Mations on 6 October 1937	6817	
617-A	Excerpt therefrom		6817

## INDEX

Of

## EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Pros.	Def.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
618		Business Report of South Seas Section in 1940	6822	
618-A		Excerpt therefrom	6822	
619		Entry in Marquis KIDO's Diary of 19 June 1940		6823
620		The Circumstances surround- ing the Conclusion of Agreement Between Japan and France Concerning the advancement of the Japanese Army into French Indo-Chino dated July-Sept 15 Showa /1940/	6828	
621		The French Indo-China Negotiations		6829

## Wednesday, 2 October, 1946 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST 4 Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment. 8 at 0940. 10 11 12 Appearances: 15 For the Tribunal, same as before. 14 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. 15 For the Defense Section, same as before. 16 17 18 (English to Japanese, Japanese to 19 English, French to English and Japanese to 20 21 French interpretation was made by the 22 Language Section, IMTFE.) 23 24

Duda & Barton

DEPUTY MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESILENT: I was hoping the Chief Presecutor would be here this morning.

(Whereupon, the Chief Prosecutor entered the courtroom.)

Mr. Chief Prosecutor, as you are aware, your position is entirely different from that of any of the prosecutors at Nuernberg. You are in charge of the whole of the prosecution, according to my reading of this Charter. You are not morely Chief of American Counsel; you are the Chief Prosecutor in the whole trial, and every section of it; and there are certain things that I should like to state to you before we proceed to deal with the case of Mr. Oneto.

In the first place, I desire to emphasize the fact that we are this morning to deal not with a nation, not with the French nation, but with an individual who represents that nation. If a British or American or Australian or Netherlands prosecutor behaved before this Court as did Mr. Oneto he would be dealt with in exactly the same way. No Member of this Tribunal entertains any national prejudices. I am sure the gentleman who represents France on this Tribunal does not think otherwise.

A ..

As Mr. Oneto will be practically in the position of a defendant this morning, we will hear him in his own language, if he desires to speak. He may, if he thinks fit, speak in English. He has spoken in English before, and up to a certain stage was clearly understood by the Court, by the reporters, and by the interpreters. At a later stage he became unintelligible to both the court reporters and to the interpreters; but as far as I am aware, no Nember of the bench failed to understand anything he said in English.

Mr. Chief Prosecutor.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, since the President of the Court has addressed the Chief Counsel in this matter, I desire to state that, of course, there never was any misconception upon the part of the Chief of Counsel that this Court had the intent to differentiate in any manner as between the nations participating in this prosecution. And in attempting to fathom the reason for that statement from the Court, if it would emanate from any argument of counsel, I would want to clear it up forthwith because it was never so intended to make any such observations during the course of the argument. Any statements pertaining to that subject had to do with what might be interpreted as the

result of the Court's ruling, which I felt would be quite different from that which the Court would intend to convey.

I am, of course, quite mindful of the provisions of the Charter, which I have studied and attempted to analyze concerning the duties of the Chief of Counsel, which I am attempting to perform in full compliance therewith and will continue to so do.

2

10.

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court, the President himself, made it quite clear to me in Chambers that whatever was to be said about a matter in Court while Mr. Oneto was speaking would be one that he himself must refer to in the court and that the Chief of Counsel would not be permitted to make any statement with reference thereto. That is what, Mr. President, you said to me in Chambers.

Mr. Oneto is here and in accordance with the Court's statement that I referred to will now answer for himself.

THE PRESIDENT: One reading the record might draw the conclusion that there was some suggestion of discriminatory treatment by this Court. As we left the bench yesterday Mr. Oneto referred to his "great country" in terms that suggested that he was defending its cause here. I do not know enough French to be

able to say just what he did remark, but one of my colleagues who does speak and understand French says that that was the substance of Mr. Oneto's remark.

Both of us may have misunderstood Mr. Oneto. But that is one of the reasons why I stressed the fact that this Court has no prejudice against any nation.

Now we would like to hear Mr. Oneto in English or French in explanation of his position.

MR. ONETO: (Speaking in French) Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal: I wish to make an explanation and dissipate a misunderstanding which arose yesterday through the use of the French language. The confusion which took place made it impossible for me to understand that it was the desire of the Court to hear further argument about the use of the French language from my learned colleague, the Chief Prosecutor.

that the Anglo-Saxon procedure applied by this Court is very different from the French system. In a French court the prosecutor himself is a magistrate, and thus, a member of the court. I wish to make it clear, therefore, that no intention of disrespect was implied by the fact that I continued to speak in the French tongue. I regret the fact that a misunderstanding arose, and I can assure the Court of my complete cooperation at

all times.

I also desire to express my appreciation of the decision of the Tribunal to allow me to continue to present my case in the French language.

THE PRESIDENT: The Court accepts Mr. Oneto's explanation. The matter is closed.

Mr. Oneto.

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, with the authorization of the Court, I wish to continue to use the French language myself, and I will ask Mr. Tavenner, my distinguished colleague, to read in English the documents on which I wish to base my accusations.

THE FRENCH MONITOR: Correction: Continue the presentation of the case in French.

MR. ONETO: The Tribunal's attention is colled to prosecution's document 829-B, in evidence as exhibit No. 512, in which the Vice-Minister for the Japanese Foreign Affairs announced on March 30, 1939, that Japan had taken administrative jurisdiction for the Spratley Islands.

I offer in ovidence prosecution document 4034-D. It is a telegram bearing the date 5 June 1939, from the German Ambassador in Tokyo to the German State Secretary.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's cocument No. 4034-D will receive exhibit No. 614. (Theraupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 614, and was received in evidence.) IR. ONETO: It relates to the time that Japan desired to participate in the war against England and France. At this time the following accused were in power: HIRANUMA, Kiichiro, Prime Minister; KIDO, Koichi, Minister of the Interior; ITAGAKI, Seishiro, Minister 10 of War; ARAKI, Sadao, Minister of Education; KOISO, 11 Kuniaki, Overseas Minister. 12 MR. TAVENNER: (Rending) "Telegram (Secret 13 Cipher Process). Tokyo, 5 June 1939. Arrival, 5 June 14 1939. Most Urgent! 15 16 "For the State Secretary. Secret. "The Deputy Minister for War confirmed to me 17 18 that the Army--" 19 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tovenner, I understood 20 that this would be read concurrently in Japanese. 21 MR. TAVENNER: I am serry, sir, I did not 22 hear you. 23 THE PRESIDENT: I understood that the docu-24 ment would be read concurrently in Japanese and that 25

you would not have to pause.

MR. TAVENMER: Yes, sir, and that is my understanding.

May I ask the translators if they are ready to proceed with the simultaneous translation of the document when read in English? It is not necessary to read it in French.

THE JAPANESE MONITOR: Mr. Tavenner, we are prepared to give the Japanese simultaneously.

MR. TAVENTER: If your Honor please, I will begin again.

(Reading) "Telegram (Secret Cipher Process).

Tokyo, 5 June 1939. Arrival, 5 June 1939. Most urgent!

"For the State Secretary. Secret.

that the Army and Navy had come to an understanding.

However, he added, weakening, that the Army had not prevailed in all matters. Informant of the Foreign Ministry let me know that the Premier and the Foreign Minister have assented to the understanding, forwarding of which to BERLIN and ROME is impending. Participation in the war against ENGLAND and FRANCE has been conceded, though still with certain reservations by which JAPAN wishes to secure the right to choose a favorable time for entering the war."

I shall emit reading the rest of that telegram.

Signed "Ott."

If your Honor please, may I ask the translation pool if they are prepared at this time to let go over the IBM system simultaneously Mr. Oneto's remarks?

That is, in French and in Japanese -- in English and in Japanese.

THE INTERPRETER: Mr. Tavenner, the interpreters'
pool are prepared, provided there are no objections from
the defense. If there is an objection, will you kindly
give us the time to get set; then we can do it.

IR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution document 1529-F. This is an article published in Volume IV of the August 1940 issue of the Tokyo Gazette on the subject of French Indo-China. It relates to the transportation of war materials through Indo-China to China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted or the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1529 will receive exhibit No. 615 for identification;
and the excerpt therefrom, bearing document No. 1529-F,

will receive exhibit No. 615-A.

(Whereupon, document No. 1529 was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 615 for identification only. Document No. 1529-F was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 615-A, and was received in evidence.)

1.3

1.4

MR. TAVENNER: (Reading)

"TOKYO GAZETTE -- August, 1940

"Volume IV -- No. 2

"FRENCH INDO-CHINA

"Bureau of Information, Department of Foreign
Affairs

Japanese expeditionary forces in South China issued an announcement in which they pointed out the fact that the greater part of the weapons and war materials purchased abroad by the Chiang Kai-shek regime were still being transported from Haiphong to Chungking by the Haiphong-Yunnan railway, and that they could not overlook such action of the authorities of French Indo-China in aid of the Chiang regime." --

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, I am told by one of my brother Judges that nothing is going over in Japanese.

MR. TAVENNER: May I ask the pool if they are ready for simultaneous translation in Japanese with my reading of the English text of the document?

THE MONITOR: Mr. Tavenner, the pool is now prepared to go on.

THE PRESIDENT: We expect the language section to tell you, Mr. Tavenner, to tell us all

whether they are ready to read Japanese at the same time as you read English; otherwise we will have these interruptions from time to time.

MR. TAVENNER: In the reading of the documents I will look for a signal from the Japanese
section of the translation pool to see if you are
ready to proceed so that I do not start ahead of you.

Continuing to read from the fourth line -THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, that red
light is on.

MR. TAVENNER: Continuing to read at the fourth line from the end of the first paragraph:
"Further, on the 16th of the same month, the Japanese forces again called the attention of the French authorities to the matter, expressing their firm resolution to put an end to this hostile action on the part of the French colonial authorities.

"Through the Japanese Ambassador at Paris,
Mr. Renzo Sawada, and the French Ambassador at Tokyo,
Mr. Charles Arsene-Henry, the Japanese Government
also had frequently requested the French Government
to reconsider the matter, and on the 19th of June the
Vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs, Mr. Masayuki Tani,
made a strong representation with regard to the prohibition of transportation through Indo-China of

materials and goods in aid of the Chungking regime, requesting at the same time that the French Government consent to the dispatch of Japanese inspectors for the purpose of making investigations of actual conditions on the spot. On the 20th the French Ambassador called on the Vice-Foreign Minister and stated that the French Government had, since the 17th of June, prohibited the transportation of such commodities as gasoline and trucks to China, but that in view of the repeated representations of the Japanese Government it had decided to forbid the transportation of an extremely wide range of materials and goods and that it had no objection to the sending by Japan of inspectors to French Indo-China. Thus one of the important routes over which war materials were supplied to Chungking has been entirely severed."

I will omit reading of the document down to the first paragraph beginning on page four.

"It is obvious that Japan, determined as she is to wipe out, at any cost, all obstructions to the building of a new order and the establishment of lasting peace in East Asia, cannot tolerate such acts as those described, which are detrimental to the high purpose for which her armies were sent to the Asiatic mainland. Accordingly the Japanese Government

2

4

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

filed energetic protests with the French Government through the Japanese Ambassador in Paris, Mr. Renzo Enwada. To this France replied through her Ambassador in Tokyo, Mr. Charles Arsene-Henry, stating that no arms were being supplied to Chungking and that gasoline and trucks could not be regarded except as merchandise for non-military use. However, immediately following the outbreak of hostilities in Europe, gasoline and trucks were declared contraband of war by the British Government in direct refutation of France's claim.

"Exhausted in her patience by this unfriendly course of action so persistently pursued by the French colonial authorities, Japan ordered her air-arm at the end of 1939 to bomb the Yunnan Railway. France protested; but Japan insisted that, as she considered gasoline and trucks in the same category as other war contraband, there would be no cessation of the attacks upon that section of the French Railway in enemy territory until Indo-China showed unmistakable signs of stopping the arms traffic across her borders.

"As the French attitude appeared to persist,

Japan was obliged in February this year to explicitly

demand the discontinuance of the transportation of war

materials making at the same time a proposal to appoint

a number of officers-inspectors to Hanoi and the

Chinese boundary to observe the transit of goods from French territory into Yunnan. Negotiations on this basis were evaded through some subterfuge or other by the French authorities and the assistance complained of continued as of yore.

"On June 4, Vice-Foreign Minister, Mr.

Masayuki TANI, made another strong representation to
the French Ambassador in Japan but with no greater
success than hitherto.

"However, the recent collapse of French Arms in the hostilities appears to have had considerable effect in correcting the attitude of Indo-China, so that by special agreement concluded between Japan and the Government of that territory, the latter undertook to cease all aid to Chiang Kai-shek. A Japanese military mission under Major General Issaku NISHIHARA was at the same time dispatched to Hanoi, the members of which were to be stationed in that capital city, at Haiphong, and at various points along the territorial borders to see that the understanding was observed. A solution has therefore been found at least for the time being to the very thorny problem that arose between the two countries which at times assumed proportions that threatened to aggravate the tense feeling between the two countries."

212223

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

MR. PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, before introducing this subject today, Mr. Oneto stated that these documents were being offered at a time when Marquis KIDO was Minister of the Interior. I assume he meant Home Minister, and the document he just read states August, 1940. I wish to advise the Tribunal that the record clearly shows that Marquis KIDO resigned on August 30, 1939. Mr. Oneto also referred to Mr. ARAKI being Minister of Education during this period of time and Mr. McManus, counsel for Mr. ARAKI, advises me that Mr. ARAKI resigned as Minister of Education also in the latter part of 1939.

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution document No. 1027-B.

THE PRESIDENT: I take the corrections by the defense as admitted?

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, I ask permission of the Court to examine this book and give a reply later this afternoon.

THE PRESIDENT: You have that permission.

MR. ONETO: This is a Business Report for the year 1939 published in December, 1941, by the South Seas Bureau and relates to the China affair and French Indo-China. It describes Japanese-French

3

20

2

3

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23<sub>.</sub>

Indo-China relations during the year 1939 on such occasions as the bombing of the Yunan railway, the Japanese occupation of Hainan Island, Spratley Islands and the Paracels, and the negotiations regarding commercial traffic between French Indo-China and the Chungking government.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1027 will be marked exhibit No. 616 for identification only and No. 1027-B will be marked exhibit

No. 616-A.

(Whereupon, document No. 1027 was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 616 for identification only.

Document No. 1027-B was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 616-A and was received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 616-A:
"Business Report of 1939 published by the
Board of South Seas 1941.

"Section 3. The China Affair and French Indo-China.

"Item 1. The readjustment of diplomatic relations between Japan and French Indo-China in regard to the bombing of the Yunan Railway and the transport

1.1

of munitions via French Indo-China.

"(a) Japan's decision to bomb the Yunan Railway.

"Our negotiations with France for prohibiting the transport via French Indo-China of munitions destined for Chiang Kai-shek were continued from the previous year. Our side patiently and prudently urged time and again reconsideration of the matter by the French so that the issue might be settled peacefully by voluntary measures from the French. However, the French while speaking of maintaining strict neutrality in the China Affair and of enforcing a policy of prohibiting the transportation of arms destined for Chiang Kai-shek, tolerated the transportation via French Indo-China of large quantities of arms for Chiang Kai-shek, which would promote Chiang's resistance powers on the pretext that such goods had been already contracted for. To our representations of displeasure of October 26 of the previous year, the French not only denied the fact of arms transportation, but also refused to adopt the measures we demanded, declaring that this was a definite answer. In the final analysis, the situation was such that our side had no alternative but to bomb the Yunan Railway. In the beginning of December 1938

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

the Japanese Naval authorities, stating that, whereas they had recognized some time ago the operational necessity of bombing the Yunan Railway, asked for this Ministry's opinion in order to draw up a united plan of the Navy and Foreign Ministries in regard to this case on the occasion of the transfer of the high officers of the Japanese Expeditionary Forces in South China. Thereupon we immediately studied the various conditions, such as the legal question of defending this bombing, the efficacy of the bombing, and its influence on our international relations, especially on the attitude of France. As the result of inquiring into its advantages and disadvantages, we reached the following conclusion: that the fact that the Yunan Railway is being used for the military purpose of aiding China justifies under international law its bombing by our side, and, our country will not be liable to indemnity for its destruction; that the operational and political effects of the bombing will be very great; and that the influence it will have on France and also Britain and the United States will not necessarily be alarming. After obtaining the approval of the Minister, Chief ISHIZAWA of the Third Section of the European and Asiatic Bureau replied orally on December 9 to Lt. Commander KAMI of the Navy

Ministry as follows: 'As for the Foreign Ministry, it considers that there is no objection to the bombing of the Yunan Railway within Chinese territory in so far as the Army and Navy require it from an operational standpoint.' At the same time SUGIMURA, Ambassador to France, was advised by telegraph to that effect and instructed to explain the justification for the bombing and make appropriate rejoinders to any protests which the French might make in case the bombing was carried out.

"(b) The growth of opportunity for adjusting Franco-Japanese relations in the light of the serious war situation in Europe.

"As stated above, the Franco-Japanese negotiations regarding the issue of the transportation of arms via French Indo-China had come to a standstill. Moreover, the relations between the two countries had been lacking in harmony over various issues which had been bonding since the outbreak of the China Affair, such as the French rejection of the agreement of our Ambassador to France, our occupation of Hainan Island, the declaration of our possession of the SHINNAN GUNTO, our territorial claim on the Paracels Islands, and the prohibition of the export of iron ore from French Indo-China. However, since the

24

3

6

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

conclusion of a Soviet-German Non-Aggression Pact, and especially after the outbreak of the European War, the attitude of the French Government and people toward Japan had greatly improved. This tendency was manifested not only in the editorial tones of the French press, but in the acts of the French Government, which at the end of August hastily acceded to our demands on the three pending issues, namely, the export of iron ore from French Indo-China, the establishment of a Japanese consulate at Noumea, and the passage through French Indo-China of the regular air-line between Japan and Thailand. Furthermore, in the early part of September France, saying that she heartily wished to arrive at an understanding with Japan on the basis of their traditional peaceful policy sounded our opinion about the initiation of Franco-Japanese conversations for the purpose of settling all pending issues. Moreover, the acting Governor-General of French Indo-China stated to Consul-General SUZUKI at Hanoi" -- SUZUKI is not the accused SUZUKI -- "that, if Japan would really pursue a policy of non-intervention in the European War, France would respond to it by adopting a conciliatory policy toward Japan. Thus the French Government gradually began to address itself actively to the adjustment of Franco-

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Japanese relations.

"(3) Our first proposal regarding diplomatic rapprochement. (Conversation between Minister NOMURA and French Ambassador HENRI of Nov. 30)

"Taking advantage of this tendency, Minister NOMURA decided to solve favorably at a stroke the various problems pertaining to the French dependencies, especially French-Indo-China, which had important bearings on the disposition of the China Incident and the establishment of the East Asiatic New Order. On Nov. 30, he summoned the French Ambassador in TOKYO and told him as per the following: (A) Of our intentions concerning Japan-French diplomatic rapprochement desired by the French, and also as per the following (B) pertaining to the dispatching to HANOI of a Staff member of our home department and a military expert for liaison and negotiation in connection with the suspension of acts to help Chiang through French-Indo-China. The French Ambassador promised to transmit the matter to his home Government and give a definite answer later on, as he could not reply on the spot. (A) Since the outbreak of the China Incident, Japan-French diplomatic relations have lacked amicability, but the fact that the French recently complied with

25

6

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

our requests in regard to a few pending matters is greatly appreciated by us. We thoroughly sympathize with recent French expressions of desire to readjust mutual relationship between the two countries.

"However, it is a matter beyond our apprehension that the French, while desiring diplomatic rapprochement on one hand, is assisting the Chiang regime on the other, for the overthrow of which we are straining every effort. If diplomatic rapprochement with us is accually desired, we consider that the French should give up such dubious attitude and break with the Chiang regime and also take a sympathetic attitude toward our settlement of the China Incident. Further, it is a well-known fact that the Freach dependencies in the South Seas and Oceana, especially French-Indo-China are maintaining various economic barriers against us. Unless such fundamental obstacles for mutual friendly relationship be actually removed, we deem it impossible to bring about the realization of mutual diplomatic rapprochement.

"Regarding the acts of aiding Chiang via French-Indo-China, large quantities of munitions destined for the Chiang regime are still being transported via French-Indo-China despite our repeated requests to stop them. Traffic of anti-Japanese

a

è

20

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22 23

24

Chinese with military and political objectives is frequent, with the result that French-Indo-China has apparently become the base of aid-Chiang and . anti-Japanese activities. The French-Indo-China authorities explain that although traffic of regular arms and ammunitions is prohibited, the other commodities cannot be barred even if they are serviceable for military purpose, so long as they are transported as general merchandise. But the fact is beyond doubt that even regular arms and ammunitions are being transported as heretofore. With regard to foodstuff, trucks, petroleum and various machinery, although they do not fall under the category of regular arms and ammunitions, they invariably tend to keep and enhance the anti-Japanese fighting strength so long as they are applied to the Chiang regime."

2

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will omit reading the next paragraph.

"Our current military operation in KUANGHSI is due to the continued transportation of munition and other commodities destined to KUANGHSI, despite our frequent protests. The reason for the trespassing of the French-Indo-China border line and the dropping of bombs by Japanese military aircrafts, as frequently protested by the French during the past few months, is that CHENNAMKUAN in Chinese territory near the border

line has become the distribution center of munitions consigned to the Chiang regime transported via French-Indo-China. For strategic military reasons, there was no alternative but to resort to bombing with the result that some of our military aircraft may inevitably have trespassed the border or accidently dropped bombs. Such undesirable affairs would cease to happen, should the French stop aiding Chiang."

I will omit down to the last paragraph on page four:

"(B) In connection with our current KUANGHSI operations, it appears that the French authorities are entertaining uneasiness and suspicion in view of our army's sphere of activity extending near the border of French-Indo-China. In order to dispel the uneasiness or suspicion, and also for liaison and negotiation between the Japanese consul-general in HANOI and the French-Indo-China authorities, we wish to dispatch to HANOI within a few days an official-incharge for the Foreign Ministry for a few days. He shall be accompanied by a military expert of field officer class to inform the French authorities of our military activities in South China and to hear the French viewpoint regarding the situation in the border districts. We thus hope to come to a better

1.0

understanding. We therefore hope that the Ambassador 1 would consent to our intention and transmit the matter to the French Local authorities so that neces-3 sary conveniences and assistances would be afforded.

- "(4) The French interim reply to our proposition (the conversation between Minister NOMURA and French Ambassador HENRI of Dec. 12). At the second interview of the two on Dec. 12, the French made the following intermediate reply.
- "(1) The French Government deeply regrets that the Japanese Government again gave vent to dissatisfaction at the alleged transportation via French-Indo-China of munitions destined to China, which report was previously denied and proven as entirely groundless by the French.
- "(2) Regarding the various pending matters such as the occupation of HAINAN island, the annexation of SHINNAN archipelago, the obstruction of navigation on the YANTZE River, the infringement on commercial freedom in the occupied territory, and all the other damages sustained by the French interests in China, the French Government has no objection to the intention of the Japanese Government to have a conference with the French Government to exchange frank opinions.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"(3) Inasmuch as there is a Japanese consulgeneral stationed in HANOI, it is beyond apprehension
that there should be my necessity of specially dispatching a staff member of the Foreign Ministry and a military expert to French-Indo-China. Needless to say, the
French Government would have no objection to the Japanese Government dispatching couriers in order to arrange for special contact with the consul-general in
question.

"(4) The military operations of the Japanese Army in Kuanghsi districts are liable to contradict the political equilibrium, which was the object of the Japan-French agreement of 1907. The French Government wants the Japanese Government's explanation on the object, nature and the duration of the operations.

"In reply to the above proposition, Minister NOMURA told the French Ambassador as follows:-

"(1) According to information received by
us, it is a plain fact that munitions are being
transported via French-Indo-China. For instance, the
information recently received from reliable sources
in China confirms the fact that the CHUNGKING Government, in view of our military operations in KUANGSHI
requested French assistance to have its military
material and other stuff stocked in that district

"(3) Inasmuch as there is a Japanese consulgeneral stationed in HANOI, it is beyond apprehension
that there should be my necessity of specially dispatching a staff member of the Foreign Ministry and a military expert to French-Indo-China. Needless to say, the
French Government would have no objection to the Japanese Government dispatching couriers in order to arrange for special contect with the consul-general in
question.

"(4) The military operations of the Japanese Army in Kuanghsi districts are liable to contradict the political equilibrium, which was the object of the Japan-French agreement of 1907. The French Government wants the Japanese Government's explanation on the object, nature and the duration of the operations.

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

"In reply to the above proposition, Minister MOMURA told the French Ambassador as follows:-

"(1) According to information received by us, it is a plain fact that munitions are being transported via French-Indo-China. For instance, the information recently received from reliable sources in China confirms the fact that the CHUNGKING Government, in view of our military operations in KUANGSHI requested French assistance to have its military material and other stuff stocked in that district

sent back temporarily to French-Indo-China. The source confirms that the French promised to make favorable arrangements to a certain extent.

that since war has not been declared by neither Japan nor China, the French is not legally obligated to suspend traffic of commodities consigned to China. But the Japanese Government earnestly hone that the French would recognize the extensive hostilities now under way between Japan and the CHUNGKING Government and take steps to suspend the freight traffic via French-Indo-China, which tends to help the CHUNGKING Government."

THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient break.
We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

oldborg & Spratt

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Oneto. That means you,
Mr. Tavenner. You are only just reading for him.
MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal,

I will begin reading at near the middle of page 6,(3).

(Reading): "(3) The military operations in KUANGhSI are executed in parallel with the blockade of the Chinese coast line to bring about the collabse of the CHUNKING Government by cutting off the commissary line. Consequently until these aims are realized, the duration cannot be definitely stated.

"Notwithstanding the foregoing explanations, the French Ambassador repeatedly emphasized the absence of munitions traffic to China via French-Indo-China. Since the premise of solving the Japanese-French diplomatic rapproachement was the suspension of munitions traffic, a divergence of opinions already existed."

I will omit down to Item 2. (Reading continued):

"The dropping of bombs on THA KHE, French Indo-China by Japanese Navy Planes.

When Acting Consul-General URABE at HANOI called on Chief Administrator DE TASTE in the after-

noon of August 26, 1939 /SHOWA 14/ at the latter's request, the Chief Administrator informed him, after remarking that the protest was being made under instructions from the Home Government, that about 11:00 a.m. of the 26th Japonese seaplanes flew over French Indo-China territory from the direction of the Chinese border and that one of these planes dropped two bombs in the vicinity of THA KHE near the border of French Indo-China and China, causing about thirty casualties.

"With reference to this, Consul-General SUZUKI, in an interview with the Governor-General of French Indo-China on September 25, expressed the Japanese Government's regret and its desire for a local settlement of the incident. The Governor-General appreciated it and requested that negotiations regarding indemnity be conducted with the Director of the Politicial Affairs Bureau.

"According to the report of the Consul-General of October 14, the Governor-General made a written demand /for indemnity/ as follows:

- (1) 50,020 piastres for 76 persons killed (about ¥ 658 per person)
- (2) 10,410 piastres for 34 wounded persons (about ¥ 300 per person)
- (3) 550 piastres for 55 lightly wounded persons (about ¥ 100 per person)
- (4) 1,570 piastres for burial expenses,

survivors' relief fund, and damage compensation.

Total 62,550 piastres.

"On November 17 the above total indemnity of 62,550 plastres was advanced by the Foreign Ministry and remitted by telegraphic transfer to Consul-General SUZUKI. Subsequently the Consul-General reported that the Governor-General, in a letter dated November 29, acknowledged receipt of this sum and recognized the incident as closed."

THE PRESIDENT: Dr. KIYOSE.

DR. KIYOSE: May I call the attention of the Court to a certain point with regard to the document which has just been presented to the Court. The title of the English text speaks of the Board of the South Seas. As a matter of fact, this document has been prepared by the second section of that Board or that Bureau.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the material difference?

DR. KILOSE: This difference bears upon the weight of the document. In our country there is a great difference in weight between a bureau and sections within a bureau.

THE PRESIDENT: That is not a ground of objection. You may give that in the course of evidence for the defense. The objection is overruled.

DR. KIYOSE: Mr. President, this is not an objection, your Honor; it is merely an attempt to call the attention of the Court and to make the necessary revisions in translation.

THE PRESIDENT: I told you what it was, matter for correction by the defense in the course of giving their evidence. We have heard enough.

DR. KIYOSE: There is one other point, your

Honor. Will you be good enough to listen to it?

THE PRESIDENT: What is your point?

DR. KIYOSE: In the subject of this document the word "published" is mentioned. May I point out that this document was not published or made public, but was prepared for use within the Government department concerned itself; and I feel that this is a highly improper word to use in reference to this document.

THE PRESENT: Your observation is noted.

MR. ONETO: I tender in evidence prosecution's document 1691. This is a resolution adopted by the Assembly of the League of Nations on October 6, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
1691, to wit, a booklet, "The League of Nations'
Resolutions and Reports on the Sino-Japanese Dispute
Since the LUKOUCHIAO Incident of July 7th, 1937", will
receive exhibit No. 617, for identification only;
and prosecution's document No. 1691, being an excerpt
therefrom, will receive exhibit No. 617-A.

(Whereupon, the above-mentioned documents were respectively marked prosecution's exhibits
Nos. 617 and 617-A, No. 617 being marked for identification only, and No. 617-A being received

in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 617-A.

(Reading):

"THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS
RESOLUTIONS AND REPORTS
ON
THE SINC-JAPNESE DISPUTE
SINCE
THE LUKOUCHIAO INCIDENT
OF
JULY 7TH, 1937

"II. RESOLUTION ADOPTED BY THE ASSEMBLY ON OCTOBER 6th, 1937.

"THE ASSEMBLY:

"Adopts as its own the reports submitted to it by its Advisory Committee on the subject of the conflict between China and Japan (documents A. 78, A. 79 and A. 80. 1937. VII)

"Approves the proposals contained in the second of the said reports (document A.80. 1937. VII) and requests its President to take the necessary action with regard to the proposed meeting of the Members of the League which are Parties to the Nine-Power Treaty signed at Washington on February 6th, 1922;

"Expresses its moral support for China, and recommends that Members of the League should refrain from taking any action which might have the effect of weekening China's power of resistance and thus of in-

creasing her difficulties in the present conflict, and should also consider how far they can individually extend aid to China;

"Decides to adjourn its present session and to authorise the President to summon a further meeting if the Advisory Committee so requests."

No. 1411 for introduction in evidence. This is a "Business Report of South Seas Section in 1940" published by the South Seas Bureau.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. ONETO: The report relates to supplies being furnished to Chiang-Kai-shek and matters concerning the Japanese Occupational Forces in French-Indo-China.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, the defense objects to introduction of this document on the ground that no certificate of source or authenticity is attached to either the copies we have or to the original.

MR. ONETO: We have not furnished the certificate because this document has come from the files and is an official document of the South Seas Bureau and that source, itself, proves its authenticity.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, it is customary to always furnish such certificates. It would save these objections if they were furnished. I make this point because there are many documents which we now have in this phase to which such certificates are not attached.

THE PRESIDENT: I am not clear why a

character carry certificates. We know, if you take the Charter literally, this would be admissible; but we have been expecting certificates and getting them, and we see no reason, for the time being, why certificates should not have been furnished here.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, may I be permitted to make a statement for Mr. Oneto in regard to that matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Now we are hearing two counsel on the one issue. Let us hear Mr. One to in French, if he desires to speak in French. He can always change over into English, provided he is understood by those who should understand him.

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, I ask for the time to find the necessary certificate and to present it to the Court as soon as I have obtained it.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection must be upheld. You can tender the document again when you have the certificate, unless you can satisfy us that a certificate should not be insisted on. You have not done that so far.

MR. ONETO: May I ask that this document be introduced for identification only?

THE PRESIDENT: The document will be marked 1 for identification. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 3 No. 1411 will be marked exhibit 618 for identification 4 only. 5 (Whereupon, the above-mentioned document 6 was marked prosecution's exhibit 618 for identifi-7 cation only.) 8 MR. ONETO: KIDO's Diary has been offered in 9 evidence for identification only as exhibit No. 178. 10 I now present document 1632-CC. 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 12 No. 1411, being the excerpt from document 1411, is 13 marked exhibit 618-A for identification only. 14 (Whereupon, the above-mentioned document 15 16 was marked prosecution's exhibit 618-A for 17 identification only.) 18 MR. ONETO: KIDO's Diary has been offered 19 in evidence for identification only as exhibit No. 178. 20 I now desire to offer in evidence prosecution's 21 document 1632-CC, which is the entry in KIDO's Diary 22 of 19 June 1940. 23 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 24 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 25 1632-CC will receive exhibit No. 619.

(Whereupon, the above-mentioned document was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 619 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 619. 1 "Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary, 19 June 1940." r "19 June 1940." 3 I will omit the first sentence. 4 "Had a talk with the Foreign Minister before 5 and after he was received in audience and discussed the two plans which were debated at yesterday's Four Minister Conference relative to the French Indo-9 China situation: "(1) That a request be submitted regarding 10 the pro-Chiang acts, and in case the request is re-11 12 fused by the French that force be employed; 13 "(2) That force be employed at once from 14 the beginning on the idea that negotiation is unneces-15 sary. 16 "The military ministers wanted the second plan 17 not to be adopted at present. It was their idea to have the first part of the first plan put into effect at once, and to decide, after waiting for a reply, whether to resort to force or not. The above policy 21 was decided upon. 22 "Italy and Germany have been informed that our country is gravely concerned with the French Indo-China question both from the political and economic

2

standpoints.

"England and America are to be dealt with after receiving the replies from Germany and Italy."

MR. ONETO: The Tribunal's attention is called to prosecution's document 4025A in evidence as exhibit No. 520. It is a telegram from the German Ambassador to the Reich Chancellery in which the European Department of the Japanese Foreign Ministry was shown to have requested that the German Government make a friendly gesture to Japan by declaring that Japan would receive a free hand in Indo-China.

I desire also to point out from this document that army circles in Japan stated to the German Ambassador that the idea of occupying the strategically important Yunnan Railway was being propagated.

The Tribunal's attention is also called to prosecution document 4025C, in evidence as exhibit 523, in which the accused, General FOISO inquired of the German Ambassador in Tokyo as to what Germany's attitude would be with regard to the military activity of Japany in Indo-China and parts of the Netherlands Indies. The date of this telegram was 24 June 1940.

I offer in evidence prosecution document 985A.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, I think

it proper at this time to call to the Tribunal's attention, from the testimony or the evidence previously put in as to the record of General KOISO, that he was the Minister of Overseas Affairs from April 7, 1939, to August 30, 1939, at which time he was relieved from the above post on his own request, and then on April 7, 1940, was appointed Minister of Overseas Affairs again in the YANAI Cabinet and was relieved 8 on July 22, 1940 when the YANAI Cabinet fell. The 9 time in July 22, 1940, when he was relieved from his 10 post as the YANAI Cabinet fell, he became a private 11 citizen and retired from political and military life 12 13 until May, 1942, and that the telegram of July 24 14 just referred to, two days after his retirement, was inquired into only in the capacity of a private citizen, 15 16 not his official capacity. 17 MR. ONETO: In document 1606, page 8, I read

written KOISO, Kuniaki, January 16, 1940; July 22, 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Where are we now? It is

very difficult to follow. I understand he is now
tendering document 9851.

Overseas Minister; on the next page, page 9, it is

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, I was introducing document No. 985A when the honorable counsel presented an objection as to document 4025.

23

18

19

20

21

22

24

THE PRESIDENT: No objection was presented; an explanation was made.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document -THE PRESIDENT: I understand now that Major
Furness wants to take an objection. I would like
counsel who do intend to take objections not to be
satisfied to stand behind the prosecutor at the lectern
but to come right forward so I will not mistake his
purpose.

MR. FURNESS: In the confusion which the Tribunal mentioned I thought another document was being discussed.

I wish to object to the introduction of this document because, again, there is no certificate of source or authenticity attached either to the original or to the copies furnished to the defense counsel.

MR. ONETO: This document is an official document coming from the South Seas Bureau, an organization which belongs to the Foreign Office. To be more precise, it comes from the Second Bureau of the South Seas Bureau, from the second section.

MR. FURNESS: The document is exactly in the same class as the one on which the Court has just ruled.

en.

THE PRESIDENT: That seems obvious. The objection is upheld and what I said of the previous document applies to this.

MR. ONETO: I ask the permission of the Court to introduce it for identification only.

THE PRESIDENT: It will be marked for identification.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 985A will receive exhibit No. 620 for identification
only.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 620 for identification only.)

the chronological order and, perhaps, the logical sequence of the French case is being interfered with because these documents cannot be admitted. This is wholly unrelated to any language difficulty. It is open to us, but I must consult my colleagues about it first, to admit these documents subject to the production of the necessary certificate. I will consult with them during the luncheon adjournment.

MR. ONETO: I offer in evidence prosecution's document 39, supplement. This is a Japanese Foreign

Office statement of 23 September 1940, published in volume 9, July to December 1940, issue of "Contemporary Japan" relating to the French Indo-China negotiations. THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness. 3 MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, we make the same objection on the same grounds. I wish to withdraw the objection, sir. certificate apparently arrived during the recess and was not attached to my copy. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual grounds. 9 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 10 No. 39 will receive exhibit No. 621. (Whereupon, the document above referred 12 to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 621 and 13 received in evidence.) 14 MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 621. 15 "The French Indo-China negotiations. 16 "(1) The Foreign Office Statement, 17 September 23, 1940. "With a view to settling the China affair and 19 thereby facilitate the establishment of a new order in East Asia, the Foreign Minister Yosuke MATSHOKA, held conversations in a friendly spirit with the French Ambassador, Charles Arsene-Henry, on basic matters

regarding the question of French Indo-China at Tokyo

during the month of August of this year.

"As a result of these conversations, France agreed to afford in French Indo-China all such facilities of a military nature as are required by the Japanese Frmy and Navy for executing their campaign for the settlement of the China affair.

"On the basis of this agreement, negotiations were conducted on the spot -- at Hanoi -- for the purpose of deciding upon concrete matters between the Japanese and French military authorities, which resulted in an agreement in the afternoon of September 22.

"(2) The Foreign Office Spokesman's Statement, September 23, 1940.

"Despite the understanding reached between
Japan and France with regard to French Indo-China after
prolonged negotiations in which Japan manifested consistent patience and forbearance, a local skirmish has
occurred in the border region of French Indo-China.
This is entirely due to a misunderstanding on the
part of French Indo-China. Therefore, it is expected
that the agreement concluded between Japan and France
will be smoothly and peacefully carried out with the
French misunderstanding naturally dispelled.

"Inasmuch as the present agreement between

Japan and France has been based on peaceful talks between the two countries, there could be no objection to it from any other foreign country."

MR. ONETO: Mr. President, I return now to document No. 1411 and ask to present it conditionally. This is on the condition that we can obtain a certificate of authenticity.

MR. FURNESS: If I understand it, the Court had ruled on that matter that it would discuss it at recess and decide afterward.

THE PRESIDENT: We would like to hear any argument that the defense have to offer.

MR. FURNESS: Simply that there is no presumption that these are official documents and that it would be a simple matter to furnish us with a certificate if they are. If counsel, as an official of the Court, will make that representation we, of course, have no objective of hampering him, but it would help the defense a great deal if those certificates were furnished with the papers so that when we check them over we will know what we are looking at.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you have another document that was rejected because of the absence of a certificate.

MR. ONETO: Document 985A.

25

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

THE PRESIDENT: That is also tendered for conditional acceptance. The Court will consider the matter.

We will recess now until half past one.

(Whereupon, at 1155, a recess was taken.)

D u d a & B

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

## AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1340.

DEPUTY MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: I propose to bring on first the matter of the order made in respect of defense witnesses. That is a matter of some urgency.

Are the prosecution ready to have that matter dealt with?

MR. E. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, so far as that matter is concerned, the prosecution are of the opinion that it is a matter between the Court and the defense with which the prosecution properly have nothing to do. Consequently, of course, we are prepared at any time that the matter should be taken on, because we intend to take no part in any discussion of that matter unless demanded by the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, on June 13, 1946, a direction was issued to the General Secretary which provided among other things that no summons for the attendance of a witness shall be issued after the first day of August 1946, unless the President of the 25 Tribunal otherwise directs for a good cause shown.

Court recessed because of air conditioning, or, rather, lack of it, we appeared in your Chambers and suggested that in view of the fact that Court was adjourned indefinitely, that that date be advanced. It was pointed out at that time the date of August 1 was set because that was the date it was originally contemplated the prosecution would finish its case; and it was understood at that time that on or about the time when the prosecution would finish its case the Tribunal would set a date on which the last applications for witnesses and documents could be made, and that date would probably be at least one month after the prosecution finished its case.

On January 26, Mr. President, you signed an order that the accused or his counsel make application in writing to the Tribunal for the production of witnesses and documents between the dates of October 7, 1946, and October 28, 1946, the accused to appear in groups of four.

THE PRESIDENT: Not January, surely.

MR. LOGAN: September 26.

The entire Defense Section is asking that this order be rescinded or, in the alternative, that it be modified. It is impossible for the defense to comply

with this motion because the prosecution is now on its seventh phase of the case with approximately seven more phases to go; so that the accused do not know at this time what witnesses or documents will be needed to combat the evidence of the next seven phases; and even after its own case starts, we do not know at this time what further documents the prosecution will introduce, and are thus not in a position to tell the Tribunal at this time what documents we will need. In many instances the attorneys for the accused have not even interviewed prospective witnesses and they are not in a position to know whether or not their testimony will be relevant.

We realize, of course, that a somewhat similar procedure was adopted at Nuernberg, and we understand the spirit in which this order was made.

If the Court should overrule our objections to the entire order, we respectfully suggest that certain modifications be made in it.

In the first place, the order does not state whether or not the presecution will be present. We ask that the presecution be barred from participation in any of these proposed hearings or receive copies of the record of such hearings. The reason, of course, for this is quite obvious, that if the presecution

find out in advance what our testimony is going to be they could govern themselves accordingly on their case.

Secondly, we request that any order entered should contain a provision that no inquiry will be made with respect to any documents at this time; and thirdly, that the order be made more flexible so as to permit an inquiry into the relevancy of testimony of proposed witnesses only for the phases completed, and subsequent hearings as the future phases are completed, and at the Court's discretion during the entire defendants' case; and fourthly, that the order be restricted to apply to witnesses for whom subpoenes must be issued.

As officers of the Court, we presume that the Tribunal has confidence in the defense attorneys to produce only such witnesses as it will need to prove its defense, and we intend to abide by that.

I wish to point out that at no time did the defense ever appear at any hearings where any requests were made for subpoenas by the prosecution.

In the absonce of these safeguards which I have mentioned, the only alternative if this order is to stand that the defense has would be to require the prosecution to let us have all of their documents from now until the end of the case so that we could be prepared to meet them.

7 8

I believe there are other defense counsel who would also like to say a word on this subject, your Henor.

THE PRESIDENT: Dr. KIYOSE.

DR. KIYOSE: We Japanese counsel also feel the same as Mr. Legan, who has just appeared before you, especially with respect to the most important phase of this trial, namely, the relations of the United States and Great Britain; in other words, the Pacific War, the preparation and waging thereof. Evidence in connection with this phase has not been brought to our attention by the presecution as yet.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no need for any French translation of this.

DR. KIYOSE: In view of this fact, we should like to have the Tribunal, as has been contended by our associate, Mr. Logan, have the Court give as much flexibility as possible to this order -- or to this motion.

May I add another point: The prosecution being one single organization, all prosecutors need not attend the proceedings daily, and many of them have sufficient time to go outside in gathering evidences. We defense counsel, whether Japanese or American, are responsible to one accused. It is,

therefore, our obligation not to be absent from this court for even an hour every day. It is therefore my ardent request, Mr. President, that after the prosecution's case has been completed, the defense be given sufficient time to assemble its evidence and to submit, tender, additional evidence as it is able to find.

My Japanese colleagues and I have discussed the matter and feel that it would be quite convenient if we are permitted approximately one month's time.

That is all that I wish to state.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: Mr. President, speaking for my individual client, I have at this time a list of witnesses, and it is a rather large one. However, I have not had the time to interview these witnesses.

I do not know whether their testimony will be material, and the most that I personally can do is submit a list of prospective witnesses and from that prospective list of witnesses, witnesses will be called actually.

It just has been called to my attention that there are several defense counsel absent that are not in this country.

Wolf & Yel

THE PRESIDENT: They are still translating this into French. We do not require it.

MR. McMANUS: (Continuing) and even though they will be -- we hope that they will return in a short time, I think that the Tribunal should take this matter under consideration and extend the time for the production of this list of witnesses.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, in connection with the discussion of my colleagues, we desire a clarification or some intimation from the Court as to what our rights may be with reference to documents processed by the prosecution and which have not been offered in evidence. Some of these documents are in the possession of the prosecution and we have been advised, or rather informed, and believe that they are not to be used. We therefore respectfully request some suggestion from the Court with reference to that matter so that in the event that we may abide by any determination that the Court might make with respect thereto.

THE PRESIDENT: My order under discussion applies only where the defense is seeking the assistance of the Tribunal to get a witness or to get a document. That appears on the face of the order itself, which

n

shows that it is based on Section III, Article 9, paragraph (e) of the Charter. That provision of the Charter states that: "An accused may apply in writing to the Tribunal for the production of witnesses or of documents."

. 13

Now, in an ordinary criminal trial the accused knows when he sees the indictment what witnesses he needs; but as the trial develops the need for further witnesses sometimes becomes apparent. The accused first knew of the contents of this Indictment on the 29th of April last. On that day it was served on them in Sugamo Prison. However, as I said, as the trial develops the accused may be advised to call further witnesses so there will be nothing to prevent the accused here, in the light of the evidence, from approaching the Court for further witnesses.

We are here following the procedure adopted in Germany of which we have heard no condemnation to date. This may be a case in which the accused cannot say with any great certainty just what witnesses they will require until all the evidence is heard, but they must have a fair idea of the witnesses that will be useful to them even now.

Now, as to the documents in possession of the prosecution which the prosecution do not intend to

use in evidence, so far as they are material to the defense, if the prosecution refuses to disclose them or to deliver them up to the defense, the defense may seek the assistance of the Court under the provisions of the Charter to which I refer in my order. That, however, would not extend to copies of documents made by the prosecution for their own use but some arrangement would certainly be made about the use of copies by the defense if the Court saw fit to order the original to be made available to the defense. However, we will reserve consideration of the matter which was placed before us this afternoon.

It has been suggested to me by a Member of the Court that on subsequent applications the defense might be required to show cause why the application was not made at an earlier date.

Mr. Williams.

MR. E. WILLIAMS: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, I have been informed that some question arose during the morning in respect of the lack of certification of some documents obtained from the Japanese Government. In the division of the work of the International Prosecution Section, one of the tasks assigned to me was that of determining whether documents should or should not be certified.

9.

THE PRESIDENT: I am afraid Mr. Oneto will have to argue that, Mr. Williams. It arose in a matter this morning of which he had the conduct. If we heard you we would hear two counsel about that and we have no intention of doing that on principle.

MR. WILLIAMS: I was going to call the Court's attention to the fact, if I might, that while this matter arose this morning it affects a great deal more than the subject that is being presented by Mr. Oneto and ask the Court's permission to address the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: No, we have no intention of allowing that. Of course, my colleagues might take a different view. I do not think so; I have discussed this with them.

(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had by the Members of the Tribunal.)

THE PRESIDENT: We have decided to hear Mr. Oneto on the point.

MR. ONETO: This morning I have had the honor to submit to the Court document No. 1411.

THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the condition stated, that the certificate be produced subsequently and on the usual terms otherwise.

MR. ONETO: I must inform the Court that on

the question of presentation of some documents I have received, though it was without certification, from the qualified servant of the prosecution, I have been told that concerning official documents, in conformity with the Charter, some of the documents would not need these papers and that was why I presented some documents this morning to the Court without their certificates. Reserving the justification.

d.

1 2

3

5

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

tion that I will later present to the Tribunal, I respectfully request that I be allowed to read this document.

THE PRESIDENT: May I make myself clear if I can. The two documents which this morning were tendered without certificates have now been admitted conditionally on the usual terms, the condition being the production of a certificate in each case later.

Please call out the numbers.

MR. ONETO: No. 1411 and No. 985-A.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mantz, will you call them? You have the documents.

No. 1411, which has been given exhibit No. 618-A, and prosecution's document No. 985-A, which has been given exhibit No. 620.

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 618-A:
"Excerpts from 'Business Report of South
Seas Section in 1940.'

"Section 3. The embargo on supplies aiding the Chiang regime and the matters concerning the occupational forces in French Indo-China.

"(1) The suspension of transportation of munitions via French Indo-China and the dispatch of the Japanese observation party.

by the French Indo-China authorities in October, 1937 stating her decision to suspend the transportation of weapons to China via French Indo-China, according to information obtained the transportation of weapons was continued as before, therefore we often filed protests against the French. However, every time the French denied our information always giving us deceptive answers saying that there was no change in their policy to stop transportation and the transportation of weapons now being continued was the fulfillment of a contract made between China prior to 15th July 1937. Japan, therefore, resolved early in 1939 to resort to force to carry out the interception of the transportation of weapons.

"However, since the outbreak of the European War, France's attitude toward Japan showed some improvement. As she showed signs of her desire to adjust the relations between Japan and France by solving the pending questions, we, therefore, replied that we would negotiate to adjust the diplomatic relations provided France would be ready to stop the transportation of munitions, gasoline, trucks and all other commodities which might increase the resisting power of the CHIANG regime via French Indo-China. France (A) denying our

information, stated that she had prohibited the transportation of genuine weapons and ammunitions, though she had no Yegal obligation to do so, and actually there was no truth of the transportation of the said supplies and, (B) not responding to our desires stated it regrettable that Japan should demand France to stop the transportation of such commercial goods as gasoline, trucks and others despite the fact that there was no declaration of war between Japan and China ever. Japan replied that (a) our information was obtained through a reliable source reveals that weapons and ammunitions have sometimes been sent to CHIANG KAI-SHEK by way of French Indo-China and that a large amount of such munitions other than genuine weapons and ammunition. as gasoline, trucks and airplanes have been transported. (b) The Japanese forces in the KWANGSI Campaign captured a large amount of arms, ammunition, gasoline and other munitions apparently from French Indo-China, (c) the amount of the transportation by the YUNNAN railway approximately doubled after the interception of the NAMNIN route as a result of the KWANGSI Campaign. (d) In modern warfare, gasoline, trucks and airplanes like weapons and ammunition are munitions that increase the fighting power (e) in the CHINA incident, Japan refrained from placing any limitation upon the ships

.

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and cargoes bound for French Indo-China in view of the friendly relations between Japan and France, however, France in the European War in which she was a belligerent, afflicted upon us great losses in the various measures toward Japanese ships bound for Europe by extending the items of contraband of war. (f) The French authorities have roused resentment among the Japanese people by declaring they would aid CHIANG KAI-SHEK at the League of Nations and on various other occasions, and actually conducted acts of assisting CHIANG by transporting munitions and granting railway loans. Japan furthermore, notified France of her desires that she recognize the present situation of the large-scale hostilities existing between Japan and China, and that France voluntarily take resolute steps, to stop the transportation of war materials from the political standpoint and the general situation irrespective of legal argument as to the existence of a legal obligation to stop the transportation of munitions or the existence of a declaration of war. As France, however, reiterated what they had said before and did not show any sincerity to achieve a political solution of the matter, Japan was obliged because of strategic necessity to bomb the YUNNAN Railway in Chinese territory since the end of 1939. Concerning

24

4

6

12

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the bombing France filed protests against Japan several times and demanded compensation. Japan, however, answered that the bombing was a self-defense measure and that as Art. 24, clause 2 of the Sino-Japanese agreement concluded in 1903, concerning the construction and management of the YUNNAN Railway, stipulates that the railway shall lose its neutrality in the case CHINA becomes belligerent, there is no necessity for Japan to indemnifying France.

"Before long, the repair of the YUNNAN Railway was completed and freight transportation became possible, thereby necessitating our Army to once more resort to armed force. However, as negotiations for the adjustment of general diplomatic relations between Japan and France had at last just begun, it was preferred that France be persuaded to suspend the transportation of war materials to CHIANG voluntarily, instead of resorting to brute force. Then, in the middle of March, 1940, Japan proposed that France suspend the transportation of arms, gasoline and trucks destined for CHIANG KAI-SHEK during the time when the negotiations to adjust the general diplomatic relations between Japan and France were being conducted and Japan shall also refrain from using military force during that period, and continued negotiations.

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

France, however, failing to appreciate Japan's efforts showed such an undesirable attitude as to say that though she shall suspend the transportation of gasoline and trucks during the month of March, thenceforth, she shall decide on the matter of transportation in relation with other important problems. Therefore, Japan concluded that it would be impossible to continue further negotiations any longer even as a temporary measure as long as France adheres to such an attitude and instructed the Japanese Ambassador in France to notify the French authorities to the above effect. Thus the negotiations were suspended for a while (during which period the transportation of munitions to CHIANG was still continued while Japan also bombed the YUNNAN Railway late in April). In the meantime, with the advance of the German attack against France, the French Government, since the latter part of May, asked Japanese companies for airplanes and large amounts of various ammunition. Japan replied that she would endeavor to meet France's desire as far as possible if France would accept Japan's request of the pending Franco-Japanese problems, especially the suspension of transportation of munitions to CHIANG via French Indo-China. Since, the war situation in France proper showed a rapid progress, Japan notified France on June 19 through the French Ambassador in TOKYO, Mr. HENRY that Japan could no longer overlook

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

25

the continuation of the transportation of munitions to CHIANG via French Indo-China, because of the growing dissatisfaction toward France among the Japanese people. The Ambassador replied that the Governor-General of French Indo-China decided on 17th June to suspend with his own authority the transportation of not only arms and ammunitions to CHIANG but trucks and gasoline. When we requested the acceptance of our proposal concerning the corroboration of the said decision, the Ambassador answered that as he had advised the Governor-General to enforce the complete blockade on the frontier between French Indo-China and China, the Governor-General had decided to corroborate this, and also decided to accept the dispatch of military experts for inspecting the circumstances regarding the transportation of supplies via French Indo-China.

"Consequently, Japan requested France to maintain strict control over the activities of China, and the Chinese who appear to be planning the concealment and smuggling of stored supplies in French Indo-China as a result of the Governor-General's decision, and moreover requested that Major OBANA in HANOI who was to be sent as a temporary expedient prior to the arrival of the military experts in order to

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

hurriedly inspect the circumstances of the suspension of transportation of munitions, be afforded necessary facilities.

"Simultaneously, on June 22, Japan proposed to France the following three items pertaining to the dispatch of the Japanese observers.

"(a) As we desire to dispatch thirty
military experts and ten Foreign Office officials
(three of whom shall be the staff members of the
Japanese Consulate-General in HANOI), and several
interpreters to French Indo-China to inspect the circumstances the suspension of the transportation of
supplies, it is desired that an easy entrance and all
necessary facilities for execution of their respective
duties be afforded to the dispatched personnel and
those liaison officials who may be sent to French
Indo-China at any time from Japan or China.

"(b) As we desire to dispatch an advance party of about seven army and naval officers and non-commissioned officers from China by a mine-sweeper, it is requested that similar facilities are afforded them.

"(c) The list of the materials that Japan will request of the French Indo-China authorities to suspend the transportation to China, will be decided

after the inspection on the spot by the said party, and up to that time, the French Indo-China authorities are requested to continue a complete blockade of the frontier between French Indo-China and China.

"France accepted the above requests and the inspectors were dispatched as per schedule.

"Subsequently Japan requested France that: (a) As far as the transportation of supplies for China via the leased territory of the Bay of KWANGCHOW are continued, the effects of the measures taken by France to prohibit the transportation of supplies to China will be greatly diminished, therefore Japan had previously requested the prohibition of the above route. Also although it is expected that steps to prohibit the above have been duly taken, Japan desires to dispatch two or three Imperial Japanese Naval officers and non-commissioned officers to that area from China in order to inspect the measures of prohibition, as well as liaison personnel who will be sent at any time from China in small war vessels, it is desired that easy entrance and all facilities necessary in executing their duties be afforded them. (b) The French Indo-China authorities are desired to ban the importation of all materials from China for a period of one month starting 7 July.

2

3

8

24

25

19

20

21

22

"The above mentioned requests were also accepted by France.

"(2) The advancement of Japanese forces into northern French Indo-China.

"The observation party previously mentioned consisting of forty officials of the Army, Navy and Foreign Ministry headed by Major-General NISHIHARA arrived at Hanoi on the 29th June and started their work. The French authorities enforced the blockade on the frontier with sincerity."

THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient break, but before we recess, if it so happens that this question of the absence of certificates applies to several prosecution sections or to more than one, it may be the Tribunal would be prepared to hear the Chief Prosecutor or some person nominated by him, or the Tribunal may insist upon each prosecutor putting his own case.

We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess
was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Goldberg & Spratt

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. President, I understand that just before the recess you indicated that if some person had been designated by the Chief of Counsel to present the question of the certificates --

THE PRESIDENT: Now, Mr. Williams, what I said was that the Tribunal might be prepared to take a certain course; but, I have not discussed the matter with the Members of the Tribunal yet. It is too early to appear on this yet.

MR. WILLIAMS: Then may I make this request?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, do not open the matter.

We will never close it.

MR. WILLIAMS: I want permission to take it up tomorrow morning, if your Honor please.

THE PRESIDENT: I will refer that to Members of the Bribunal, Mr. Williams.

MR. TAVENNER: I will continue reading, your Honor, beginning at the top of page 8 (4).

(Reading): "(4) Although Japan originally desired the transportation of all supplies to CHIANG to be suspended, however, when that is difficult, at least the suspension of the transportation of those articles mentioned below, which are already listed as

'contraband of war' by France is desired:" --

THE PRESIDENT: We thought you were on page 6.

MR. TAVENNER: Page 8.

THE PRESIDENT: But you have gone over to page 8.

MR. TAVENNER: I beg your pardon. Correction:
I will begin reading at the top of page 6.
(Reading):

"The bombing of the passenger-train on the YUNNAN Railway. Regarding the negotiations adjusting the diplomatic relations between Japan and France, which have been continued since last year, we were studying various counter measures.

"At that time when the YUNNAN-Railway was bombed for the second time by our naval planes, a bomb made a direct hit on a passenger train, which happened to be on the railway killing five French (two women and two children) and killing or injuring many ANNANESE and Chinese. The French Prime Minister requested the Japanese Ambassador in Paris, Mr. SAWADA, to make a satisfactory explanation as to this incident, saying that, since 1937 the French Government had never transported arms upon the honour of France, however notwithstanding this, Japan had often bombed the railway without giving any evidence of the transportation

4 5

of arms, and moreover, to even injure the lives of women is very regrettable from the standpoint of our diplomatic relations. On the assumption that he was not in a position to explain the incident since he had not yet received any official report, the Ambassador repeatedly explained Japan's policy toward the bombing of the YUNNAN-Railway and suggested the necessity of a voluntary suspension of all transportation of supplies to CHIANG via the YUNNAN-Railway to avoid the recurrence of such an unfortunate incident.

"Moreover, from the outset the French publicized that the bombing of the YUNNAN-Railway was conducted by the forces on the spot, not according to the
orders from headquarters, and articles concerning the
bombings appeared in the SHANGHAI newspapers, irritating the feelings of the Japanese forces on the spot.
Therefore, Ambassador SAWADA was instructed to explain
to the French authorities that the bombings of the
YUNNAN Railway were not, as already often explained,
only the activities of the forces on the spot, and
hitherto it was decided that as a principle the bombing target was limited to railways or railway bridges,
and so long as trains were not used for a military
purpose they would not be bombed; this policy was adhered to by the forces on the spot. However, the

bombing of the train was due to a mistake resulting from bombing in very difficult conditions while resisting heavy defense-fire from enemy fighter planes and the anti-aircraft batteries on the ground in that neighborhood. None of the pilots had admitted the existence of a train in the vicinity of the targets, only later on, after examining the aerial photograph with magnifying glasses that an object similar to that of a stationary train in the direction of the tunnel to the north of the central part of the bridge, was distinguished.

"On February 5th, the French Ambassador in TOKYO called on vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs, TANI, and handed the following protests:

"(1) The French Government shall lodge a protest against the act of damages afflicted upon French property through the recent bombing of the YUNNAN-Railway.

"(2) As a result of the bombing the casualties known are 40 killed (including 5 Frenchmen) and 84 ANNANESE, and Chinese wounded.

"(3) The French Government shall reserve the right to demand compensation as soon as the circumstances of the damages are clarified.

"Simultaneously stated that this incident will

have a very bad influence on American public opinion, and similarly French opinion is greatly enraged. In reply vice-Minister, TANI, stated that as details have not yet been clarified Japan is ready to take fair and reasonable measures, after investigation, however, according to the information obtained, it is said that there are traces of the train being used for military purposes, and citing the following points, called attention of the Ambassador:

"(1) As far as the transportation of munitions and other supplies are continued, from the strategic standpoint, Japan shall be obliged to bomb the YUNNAN-Railway.

"(2) France seems to be restrained by the 'non-existence of a declaration of war', however, Britain has formally recognized that large-scale hostilities are going on between Japan and China, and though for the purpose of improving Franco-Japanese relation, discussions as to the separate problems which successively occur (for example, the joint investigation of the booty in KWANGSI) have been held, but with little avail. Therefore, it is necessary that France, too, like Britain, recognize the existence of hostilities between Japan and China and considering all problems from this standpoint we believe that today is the

time to adjust the diplomatic relations between the two countries, basing such on a broad minded view-point.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"(3) Although France has hitherto advocated her neutrality toward the China Incident, the Japanese people believe that France is adopting a pro-CHIANG policy in consideration of her official announcements at the League of Nations and at other places, or in her actions of granting credit to China, aid given in the construction of railways in China and the transportation of supplies to CHIANG, etc. Japan shall not ignore France's considerations toward her domestic relation or the third-countries relations, and not request France's official announcement as to the suspension of the pro-CHIANG activities. Japan will be satisfied with the actual suspension of all such pro-CHIANG activities, such as refraining from the repair of the damaged railway and report to CHIANG Kai-shek or the third powers that the repair of the railway is impossible, and so forth.

"(4) Although Japan originally desired the transportation of all supplies to CHIANG to be suspended, however, when that is difficult, at least the suspension of the transportation of those articles mentioned below, which are already listed as 'contra-

band of war by France is desired:

"(1) Arms and ammunitions.

"(2) Airplanes and parts thereof.

"(3) Trucks, other automobiles and parts thereof.

"(4) Gasoline and other oils.

"(5) Metals, machines and other metal goods.

"(6) Locomotives, freight cars and railway materials.

"(7) Chemicals.

priate steps toward our demands Japan will negotiate with the military authorities to stop the bombing of the YUNNAN Railway and moreover there is ground for consideration of not only the maintenance but also the promotion of French interests in both the new Chinese Government's and Japanese Army's sphere of influence. In reply Ambassador HENRY stated that the Japanese Government seems to be recognizing the actions of the militarists one after another, however, such an attitude will have a bad influence on American public opinion and that despite the denial of the French Government, the Japanese authorities make announcement as though the French authorities were transporting munitions to the CHIANG regime, however, it is regrettable, that the

Japanese authorities do not present any evidence. ViceMinister TANI retaliated that, the former was without
basis, and as to the latter, our information was obtained through reliable sources and according to information from the various sources we are aware even
of the existence of an entente concerning the supply
of goods between France and China.

"Due to the comparatively slight damages of the railway itself and the few casualties among French nationals as well as the maintenance of the policy by the French Government to avoid the aggravation of the public opinion toward Japan, the bombing of the YUNNAN-Railway in the past did not become a problem of public interest in France, however, as French nationals, especially women, were killed public discussion of the problem has been permitted. But in handling this matter the Government seems to have unofficially instructed the newspapers the following points:

"(1) It is surprising that the bombings were repeated while negotiations concerning the YUNNAN Railway were being held between the Japanese authorities and not only French Indo-China, but also the French home Government.

"(2) According to the impression obtained

in TOKYO, the bombings seem to have been conducted by the unilateral decision of the forces on the spot.

"(3) However, the French Government intends to maintain the hitherto friendly relations between France and Japan."

I will begin reading now at the break in the page near the middle on page 9:

2

1

3

6

5

7

9

8

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

"Concerning this matter, the Japanese Ambassador, Mr. SAWADA, called on Vice-Minister, Mr. FEDIE and stated that as long as the transportation of the munitions is continued Japan shall be obliged to bomb the railway, and that Japan heartily hopes France will recognize, like Britain, the existence of hostilities between Japan and China, and to stop at least the transportation of those above-mentioned items which were pointed out by Japanese Vice-Minister, TANI. Vice-Minister, FEDIE replied that although the French authorities had already voluntarily stopped the transportation of arms, it is unreasonable of Japan to make such a demand equal to suspension of all traffic on the railroad. As this was only a repetition of the old argument, the Japanese Ambassador emphasized that it was not the time to adhere to legal theories, and of the necessity for France to make a decision for a political solution. The Vice-Minister, Mr. REDIE, replied that in view of the fact that in the past France had persevered and only being flatly refused by Japan (literally translated as hit on the head), and out of consideration of domestic opinion, it is impossible to make such a resolution, in any case, France will reconsider the matter on receiving a formal reply from the Japanese Government as to

their recent proposal.

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

"On February 20th, vice-Minister, TANI, asked the French Ambassador in TOKYO, Mr. HENRY, to visit him and handed him the following memorandum: 'Railway bridge No. 7 was the main target of the bombing on February 1st and the bombing of the train was by no means planned from the outset. The bombing on that day was conducted under the most difficult conditions, of running the risk of unfavourable air currents and averting the attacks of enemy planes and anti-aircraft gun barrage from an altitude of 3,800 meters. And due to the railway-bridge, other constructions and shadows, none of the pilots were able to identify the train which was stationary on the reilway-bridge at that time. It was entirely an secident that the bomb dropped by a plane of the Imperial Armed Forces hit the train, however, the Imperial Japanese Government regrets that as a result of the bombing there were French and Annanese casualties, and is willing to pay a reasonable sum of condolence money to the French nationals.'

"On May 7, Ambassador HENRY replied by letter that upon notifying the Governor Ceneral of the decision of the Japanese Government, he instructed him to request a compensation of 175,030 pesos (120,000 pesos for the 5 French nationals, 55,030 for the IndoChina victims), explaining that in determining the above, the social-standing of the victims were considered, and to obtain a thorough investigation several months have been required; and at the same time presented an explanatory note."

I will omit reading the next paragraph.

"(6) The bombing by the Japanese plane in
HAIPHONG.

"At the time of the entry of the Japanese forces into French Indo-China, on September 26th a Japanese bomber dropped 4 bombs on the city of HAIPHONG killing 15 and injuring 18 persons and causing other material losses. On October 7th the Councillor of the French Embassy in Tokyo notified the Chief of the 3rd section of the Eurasian Bureau, Mr. ISHIZAWA the contents of the case. However, as it became evident that this bombing was due to the carelessness of the pilots, the forces on the spot expressed their regret and settled the matter by paying 33,000 peso as compensation money.

"(5) The dispatch of a cruiser of the Imperial Japanese Navy to SAIGON.

"A tolegram was received from the Consul General in HANOI Mr. SATOH stating that to assert Japan's power in southern French Indo-China and to

- 17

control enti-Japanese activities by the Chinese residents in the SAIGON district, to facilitate the purchasing of rice and to prevent manoeuvres by Britain and America, an Imperial Japanese cruiser was scheduled to be despatched to SAIGON (expected around the middle of Dec.). Upon receiving approval from the Vichy Government as the Governor General had already agreed to it as a result of negotiations between the organ SUMIDA who had previously planned the despatch of a Japanese warship to SAIGON and the Government-General and using this opportunity an exchange of good will was going to be held for about one week. However, later on the Government-General informed the organ SUNIDA that they had received instructions from the Vichy Government that this matter should be negotiated between the Japanese Ambassador in France and the French Home Government, and in view of the current situation believe it to be unappropriate to enforce the program immediately and that they wish to postpone it for awhile. As the organ SUMIDA accepted this proposal, the plan was naturally not realized.

"(7) The dispatch of the French Indo-China military delegation to America.

"The French Indo-Chine authorities dispatched a military delegation consisting of infantry

6

17 18

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19 20

21

22

24

brigade commander, Colonel JACOMY, Major RERITZRON and two others, to the U.S. in order to obtain support of America to resist Japan. The party arrived at San Francisco on July 21st. When it became apparent that they were endeavoring to purchase 200 military planes etc., the Chief of the Third section of the Eurasian Bureau Mr. ISHIZAWA invited the Councillor of the French Embassy in Tokyo, Mr. FAN on December 3rd and orally proposed that although Japan appreciates the efforts taken by the French Home Government to maintain and promote friendly relations toward Japan, it is most unwarrantable for the French Indo-China authorities who are supposed to be under the control of the Home Government, to conduct such anti. Japanese manoeuvres, so in the future ask them to refrain from such unfriendly

17

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

"acts. Simultaneously he instructed the Charge d'Affaires to the Vichy Government. Mr. HARADA to propose the same to the French authorities."

I shall omit reading the rest of that page and begin at the top of the following page, which is

"(3) The frontier dispute between Thailand, and French Indo-China.

"After the revolution of 1932 and 1933 in Thailand, the racial consciousness of the people became outstanding and the re-construction movement in the country developed into the demand of the recovery of natural power or lose territory.

"Furthermore, in June, 1939 the name of Siam was changed to Thailand, and the movement of the recovery of lose territory developed more and more. In order to maintain neighborly friendship between Thailand and French Indo-China, the principle for opening negotiations about the non-aggression pact was recognized in October of the same year and on July 12th, 1940 the French-Thai non-aggression pact was concluded, so both governments appointed its commissioner to discuss the revision of the border of the Mekong River which was a condition of the pact. However, the French situation was extremely

weakened owing to the defeat in the war against Germany in Europe, meanwhile, Japan's predominant interests in political and economic circles in East Asia were recognized by the MATSUOKA-HENRY Agreement concluded on Aug. 30, 1940. Thailand, stimulated by a sudden and serious agitation and change in international relations demanded in a memorandum dated 13 Sept., as a condition for the exchange of ratification of the non-aggression treaty, the revision of the Mekong River frontier according to the deepest part of the river principle stipulated by international law, and the recognition of the Thai-French Indo-China boundary along the R. Mekong north and south of Cambodia, by insisting that the circumstances in French Indo-China were no longer normal. This demand meant the cession of the areas along the right bank of River Mekong across from Luang Probang and Bakuse (Note: ceded from Siam to France by 1904 Treaty) to Thailand. Thailand, in addition, expressed her hope to obtain a guarantee from the French that the territory of Cambodia and Laos would be returned to Thailand in the case when France renounces her sovereignty over Indo-China.

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The French Government replied in a memorandum dated 19th Sept, stating that although she will agree to establishing a committee for the resolution of the pending questions, she will not be able to respond to any demand that may alter the territorial integrity of French Indo-China as there has been no change in the status thereof; thus flatly refusing Thai's demands. Therefore the Thailand Covernment again submitted a memorandum on the 28th Sept, repeating the above demands advocating that the R. Mekong constituted an appropriate and natural boundary between French Indo-China and Thai. But, in regards to Laos and Cambodia on the left bank of the R. Mekong she was satisfied in 'demanding these when the position of French Indo-China is altered'.

"However, as the French authorities again refused these demands 11 Oct, asserting that they, like the previous demands were without legal or factual basis, Thailand's recovery of lost territory through direct negotiations between French Indo-China and Thai were, for the time being discontinued. Thus the situation between the two countries became tense, as a result Thailand concentrated troops along the French Indo-China border, while French Indo-China also increased her garrisons along the frontier, the tension being so great that it was on the verge of explosion. But as the advance of Japanese forces

into French Indo-China being limited to the northern district, and the remainder of French Indo-China being guaranteed by Japan, the ensuing chaos which Thai anticipated did not occur, consequently Thailand and was placed in a dilemma, and compelled to depend on Japan in the achievement of her aims.

"In the beginning, Japan did not like
That to adopt such an attitude regards the recovery
of her lost territory when we were negotiating to
enter French Indo-China. We did not think it the
best policy to assist That in her demands for the
recovery of lost territory immediately after we had
entered French Indo-China and had to obtain the cooperation of the French. When in Sept and Oct 1940 the

22 23

Abram & Morse

Thailand Government sent a friendly delegation headed by Col. Plomu, Vice Minister of the Dept. of Defense to sound our new foreign policy and intentions towards the problem of the recovery of lost territory we adopted a neutral attitude.

"The problem of the entry in Thai being settled it was essential that Thailand cooperate with Japan and an economic agreement be concluded for the acquisition of rice and other important raw materials and furthermore, to separate Thai from Britain in consideration of the southern problems of the future. As the U.S. and Britain have actively commenced manoeuvers vis-a-vis Thailand, it is necessary that they are prevented. Therefore, it was decided that to take up the problem of the recovery of lost territory which

Thailand earnestly desired would be to draw her on our side, and at the same time would stimulate the French Indo-China problem. At the Four-Ministers Conference held on 5th Nov., it was decided to agree to assist Thailand in her recovery of lost territory and plan to make Thailand cooperate both politically and economically in the establishment of the New Order in East Asia. This was conveyed to Thailand. Following, at the second Four-Ministers Conference held on the 21st Nov, it was decided that when Theiland accepts our demands we would immediately assist her in the recovery of LUANG PRABANG and PAKUSE. When we proposed this to Thailand, Prime Minister PIBUN completely accepted our demands, therefore we decided to assist her in the recovery of lost territory.

"Frior to this FIC-Theiland relations
had gradually become tense regarding the problem
of lost territory. Both countries gathered troops
on the border, and airplanes infringing upon another's
territory became frequent, in the end, on the 28th
Nov. both forces clashed in the LAOS border district.
Since then, both sides have clashed on a small scale,
consequently, making use of this opportunity /Foreign/
Minister MATSUOKA unofficially stated to the French

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Ambassador in Tokyo Mr. HENRY that he was with the intention of a peaceful arbitration in regards to the recovery of lost territory of 1904 which Thailand desires. On the 19th Nov. the French Government replied that they greatly appreciate Japan's good intentions but from the standpoint of territorial integrity will not be able to make any cessions of territory. Consequently, we only requested the French Government to reconsider her unwise firm attitude as it would not be to her advantage, and observe the trend of events for awhile."

onditions to which I have just read document No.

1411 I now request permission of the Court to
have read document No. 985A. This morning this
document received exhibit No. 620.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner may read it.
MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit No.

20 620.

(Reading)

"The circumstance surrounding the conclusion of agreement between Japan and France concerning the advancement of the Japanese Army into French Indo-China.

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

10

21

22

23

24

25

"(July - September 15 Showa /1940/)
"2nd Section, South-sea Bureau of the Foreign Ministry.

"1. The political agreement/concluded/ between Jaran and French Indo-China by CATROUX, the Governor of the same.

"Statement regarding hopes for its conclusion.

"Since the arrival in French Indo-China of the observation party/consisting/ mainly of our military experts who were sent in the latter part of June, 15 Showa /1940/ in order to inspect the situation of the embargo on materials intended for CHMANG KAI-SHEK via French Indo-China, the Governor, CATROUX, and the military commander of French Indo-China repeatedly announced with sincerity that they would enforce the said embargoes and cooperate in the execution of the duties of the observation party.

"According to the reports from the observation personnel sent to various parts in French Indo-China, the embargoes were actually being carried out. "The French Indo-China authorities furthermore endeavored to show us their good offices to the utmost by (a), prohibiting the inflow of Chinese exports into French Indo-China for one month starting July 7th, and (b), recognizing the construction of a submarine cable between HAIPHONG and HAIKOW, and the installation of wireless machines for our observation party.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The Governor who thus assumed a conciliatory attidue toward us, furthermore proposed to Major-General NISHIHARA who was the head of the observation party that if Japan respects the territorial integrity of French Indo-China, she shall be ready from the military standpoint to conclude with Japan a defensive treaty against CHANG KAI-SHEK and shall be able to cooperate with Japan in a wider sphere than at present, and at the same time from the economic standpoint are prepared to adopt friendly measures in regard to Japan's expansion in enterprises and promotion of exports into French Indo-China, therefore request that a proposal for the conclusion of an agreement of the above nature be made by the Japanese Government. However, regarding the passage of the Japanese army and the use of aerodromes in French Indo-Chine to which our army attaches great important in operations toward China,

he stated that these matters exceeded the authority of the Governor of French Indo-China and requested that regotiations be formally opened between the Japanese and French Government."

I will omit page 2 of the document and will read again beginning at the top of page 3.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"3, The decision of our policy toward this problem.

"Conserning this, our Government, after consulting with the army and navy, reached a definite plan to propose to the French /Government/ but it was decided that after the amproval of the succeeding Foreign Minister concrete negotiations, in regard to political and military agreements will be held mainly in Tokyo, while those of an economic nature, in HANOI. For the time being, in the later part of July, the following instruction draft and explanation draft were sent to consul-general SUZUKI in HANOI, and at the same time instructed Ambassador SAWADA to report to the French Government that Japan's attitude shall be determined as a result of the decision of the foreign policy by the new cabinet (on July 22nd, the YONAI cabinet was succeeded by the second KONOYE cabinet).

"(1) The instruction draft.

"Concerning the political, military and economic agreements with French Indo-China.

"1. Policies concerning the political, military and economic agreements with French Indo-China.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"As a result of consultation with the army and navy authorities, our Government has decided to propose to France the conclusion of political, military and economic agreements which contain the following contents and that the negotiations concerning the political and military agreement will be held in Tokyo with Ambassador HENRY, and the negotiations for the economic rgreement between you and the Governor of French Indo-China. Therefore, upon understanding the explanation detailed in the attached sheet you are to commence negotiations directly with the Governor, and in connection with the military agreement assist Major-General NISHIHARA in order to permeate our views to the Governor and endeavor to make him suggest to the home Government to accept our requests.

"II. The contents of the agreement.

- "(1) The political and military agreement.
- "(a) French Indo-China shall cooperate

with Japan in the construction of a New Order in

Fast Asia and especially, for the time being,

recognize the passage and the utilization of

aerodromes (including the stationing of ground

forces for guard purposes) in French Indo-China

by the Japanese Army which has been sent for the China

operations, and provide the various facilities which

are necessary for the transportation of arms and

ammunition and other materials of the Japanese

army."

"(b) Japan shall respect the territorial integrity of French Indo-China.

"(2) The economic agreement.

"As stated in the attached sheet

of the 'trade and economic negotiations' policy toward

French Indo-China', which is in the possession of sccretary HAGA (the 'business' stated in paragraph 1 in the said attached sheet includes banking business.)

"The Circumstances Surrounding the Conclusion of Agreement between Japan and France.

"(2) Draft of Explanationa re Political and Military Agreement and Economical Agreement with French Indo-China.

"1. Considering the present position of
France and the relation between Japan and France, the
Japanese Empire expects to make French Indo-China, at
this time, not only promise to co-operate in establishing the New Order of East Asia, and in settling the
China Incident, but to also have her support the Empire
in both military and economical lines by, at the moment,
for the sake of the afore-mentioned object, accede to
the Empire's demands forming the contents of the political and military agreement and economical agreement.

"Strategically, we shall place our demand before French Indo-China as mentioned on attached sheet

,

number A=2, Article Ia, not only with the purpose of making her suspend the transportation of goods for the Chiang regime so as to destroy the Chungking Government, but from the standpoint of strategical necessity against the Chungking Government.

"(II) Considering the fact that French Indo-China has been eagerly wishing to secure a pledge from us regarding the integrity of her territory as she is apprehensive of the security of her territory, we shall prove her our just and upright attitude in giving her our pleage to that effect in some appropriate manner, and that would be by declaring that we have no intention of invading the territory of French Indo-China, but that we shall not hold any responsibility for protecting the integrity of French Indo-China even from invasions by Third Powers. As an invasion of French Indo-China by a Third Power, however, would be an obstacle in establishing the New Order of East Asia, needless to say, we could not disregard such a matter. but the measures to be adopted against same shall be decided from our own independent standpoint.

"(III) In case France brings up the questions about Hainen Island, Spratley Islands, Hsi Sha Islands, etc., we shall, of course, reject her objection flatly by pointing out the fact that same have no direct

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

```
relationship with the present agreement relative to
     French Indo-China."
 2
 3
 4
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

"(IV) Should, by any chance, the French
Government reject all our demands which are to be the
contents of the Political and Military Agreement,
we shall, obviously, have no reason to give her any
pledge respecting the integrity of her territory,
but our attitude to be taken in such a case shall be
decided after careful study by taking her attitude
and the international situation, etc. into consideration.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Economical Agreement is aimed at the establishment of economical co-operation between Japan and French Indo-China, and we have no intention of monopolizing the economical interests relating to French Indo-China by totally ignoring the interests of France and other countries. As we shall demand being treated in the same way as France, the French people and French commodities in regard to matters pertaining to commerce (trade), enterprises, and entry into the country, etc., we believe that French Indo-China will show her disapproval on account of our foregoing demand exceeding the contents of ordinary commercial agreements, but, as we, on our part, are even going to pledge our respect for the integrity of French Indo-Chinese territory by virtue of the Political and Military Agreement, it will of course be necessary to realize our economic demands to the utmost limit. The negotiations for the Economical Agreement shall, in view of its nature, be conducted separately from the Political and Military Agreement.

"(VI)(a) As far as the persons in charge of the negotiations of the Political and Military Agreement are concerned, the conclusion of the agreement shall, as per the request of the Governor-General of French Indo-China, be effected after the negotiations between our government and Ambassador Henri at this place as this agreement includes such an important political problem as integrity of territory. As regards the formality, same shall be considered later on.

omical Agreement shall be conducted between Your Excellency and the Governor-General of French Indo-China, and on the satisfactory conclusion of same, in view of the relationship with the Privy Council, the formality shall be adopted whereby you shall have the Governor-General of French Indo-China notify you onesidedly of the measures taken by the French Indo-China authorities, by his letter addressed to you, and you shall then only 'take note' of it.

"All further procedures shall be transferred to this place, where proper steps shall be taken." 

"4. Commencement of negotiations with

France regarding a political and military agreement.

"(Meeting between Minister MATSUCKA and Am-

basssdor Henri on Aug. 1st. The first Franco-Japanese Meeting).

"On Aug. 1st, the Foreign Minister, MATSUOKA, asked Henri to visit him, and as a preliminary statement told him that the Japanese Government highly appreciated the measures/talen by the French authorities/ to prohibit the transportation of materials to the CHIANG Regime through French Indo-China, and that Japan desired that the French Indo-China Government cooperate more extensively with Japan in political, military and economic affairs for the establishment of Japan's New Order in East Asia and to foster the settlement of the China Incident. MATSUOKA then requested as the concrete contents of the political and military cooperation, (note: as for the economical co-operation, refer to the separately drafted 'resume' of the negotiations on economic relations between Japan and French Indo-China) recognition of the passage through and the utilization of air-bases (including the stationing of ground forces to guard them) in French Indo-China by the Japanese Army which has been dispatched for operations against China, and

Joseph Sent relives the Spenon Administration of the Spenon Administration

er type extensivals said the said political

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the provision of all necessary facilities for the transportation of arms, ammunition and other materials for the said troops. He also added that these requests were for the solution of the China Incident, and all measures being limited to within the scope necessary for the frustration of the CHIANG Regime, but not based on the intention to violate intrusion upon her territory. Furthermore, he stated that he wished to hold the negotiations at TOKYO as it was so important a political problem, and in consideration of the urgency of the affairs, desired to get the reply of the French Government/ as to this question/. To which the Ambassador replied that Japan's request was equal to demanding France, which was in a neutral position, to declare war against China, even though Japan herself had not done so yet. The Minister said that it was not a question of whether war was declared or not. France was requested to understand that hostilities were going on in large scale in China, and as for Japan, we have no intention to violate French neutrality, but as this request was a result of absolute necessity from the military standpoint it might happen that French neutrality may be infringed upon even though it may be in formality, if France did not accept this request.

.

19

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

Therefore as Japan did not wish to have such an occurrence this proposal was made. Moreover, we want the French to accept our request with the same spirit that Japan accepted in 1907 Franco-Japanese agreement in spite of the benefits enjoyed by France in comparison with almost none on our side. This measure was not taken because Japan preferred it so, but as a result of circumstances she was obliged to make such a request. /Finally/. MATSUOKA explained that as in those days unexpected and strange things often took place, there is no necessity to think that to accept Japan's request must necessarily mean the declaration of war against China. The Ambassador answered that although there were several comprehensible reasons in MATSUOKA's explanation, Japan's requests as to French Indo-China were only becoming greater and greater each time, and it could not be estimated what requests Japan would make /of French Indo-China/ if this request was accepted. Saying that he said he would transmit the request to the French Government, he took his leave."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will omit reading the rest of page 5 and will begin at the top of page 6.

"Draft for the First Official Exchange letter regarding the political and military agreement presented by Japan to the French Authorities.

(Conference between the Vice Minister of Foreign

Affairs and the French Ambassador to TOKYO on Aug.

9th and 10th).

"In accordance with the result of the conference between MATSUOKA, the Foreign Minister and 'HENRY', the French Ambassador, and on Aug. 9th the Vice Minister of Foreign Affairs requested the ambassador to visit him, and upon handing him the draft of this exchange of notes relating to political and military affairs which correspond to the attached documents Nos. 1 and 2, held the following meeting.

"The Vice-Minister: This request of ours is according to absolute military necessity, and in consideration of the urgency of the request wish to obtain your approval as quickly as possible.

"The Ambassador: As the Japanese request is so vague it would mean handing over a 'blank cheque' if it were accepted as it is.

"The Vice-Minister: The contents of the request is clear. It is clearly stipulated 'for operations against CHINA': there is nothing to be doubtful about.

"The Ambassador: There would be no end to requests being brought forward under the cloak of

operations. It is clear that our Government will not agree with it absolutely as it is, although I may transfer this draft to our government if you wish.

"The Vice-Minister: Do you mean that you are doubtful about the non-aggression of your territory?

"The Ambassador: No; As the draft stands at present there is a danger the utilization of air-fields and passage of troups anywhere in French Indo-China may be requested. /Japanese Troops/ may.land about 'Cambodia' Saigon. That is why I wish to state clearly the places /Japan/ desires.

"The Vice-Minister: This draft only stipulates the general principle and as to details they may decided through negotiations on the spot.

"The Ambassador: It is not desirable to leave the decision of details to negotiations on the spot. Although, according to your plan the Japanese Army makes repeated demands and Indo-China is disposed of, that could not be helped.

"The Vice-Minister: It is clearly stipulated 'for operations against China'. Needless to say that we have no intention to abuse it.

"The Ambassador: In regard to this point the Foreign Minister has told me that, and I also understand that Japan has no such an intention, but as a practical question, if we agree to the agreement as it stands it cannot be said what requests, one after another, Japan may make. Nobody can guarantee this point. Upon reporting the result of today's conversation to the Foreign Minister please reconsider the matter. As a result, if you desire me to send the draft as it is to my government, I will. However, I think that my government cannot accept it unconditionally.

"(Conversation regarding economical problems omitted).

"On the following day, the 10th, the ViceMinister again requested Ambassador Henry to visit him,
and presented him a revised draft which was rewritten
as 'Passing through the Province of "TONKIN", French
Indo-China, and utilizing aerodromes in the same
province' in the draft of the exchange of notes of the
9th; and the conversation exchanged was as follows:

"The Ambassador: Although the province of

'TONKIN' may be stipulated from our point of view, it is equal to issuing a 'blank cheque' for the province of TONKIN; and we will not be able to oppose anything the Japanese forces might do. That is not 'fair' play. If you are going to make such a request, please make yourself a little clearer.

"The Vice-Minister: Although I understand your feeling, as to what points will be passed or what air-fields are to be utilized, they are military secrets, therefore cannot be announced beforehand. No matter how earnestly you may insist, from the maintenance of secrecy of the operations against China, we will not be able to answer by any means.

"The Ambassador: Do you not trust the French Government?

"The Vice-Minister: This subject differs from
the ordinary item of secrecy, for no one other than
the military authorities are aware of it. However,
when the Japanese /rmy enters French Indo-China, unless
cooperation of the French Indo-China authorities is
obtained matters will not progress smoothly, therefore discussion as to the details will be held between
the Japanese and French Indo-China authorities. For
instance, if your excellency asks what places the
Japanese Army will pass through or what aerodromes

they will utilize, and if your government state where is good or where is unsuitable, then this will make our military operations impossible; and the value of France accepting our request as a principle will become nothing; and in so far as it has been accepted as a principle, to ask of military secrets is going a little beyond the point, and if you still persist, it will be useless to argue with you anymore, so please transfer our request as it is to your home government.

"The Ambassador: (After agreeing with the above). The Japanese request is one-sided.

"The Vice-Minister: That is natural. That is why we are able to negotiate. There is one thing to which we wish to draw your attention, that is Japan is doing her best to establish a new order in East Asia.

"The Ambassador: By the words a new order in East Asia, what do you concretely mean?

"The Vice-Minister: To make this clear a detailed explanation would be necessary, but if summed up in a few words, it means to establish a stable and dominant position of Japan in East Asia. As you know Japan and China should maintain friendly relations as neighborly countries, but as long as the Chiang Regime exists it forms an obstacle, and we are earnestly

overcoming all obstacles and pushing forward towards the downfall of the regime. And for this reason, hope for the co-operation of France. We believe that as to settling the China Incident as quickly as possible and establishing a permanent peace in East Asia, France has no objection. For that purpose it is necessary to annihilate the Chiang regime as quickly as possible, and wish to use French Indo-China as a means/to achieve that objective./ If the Chiang regime had already been overthrown, we would not demand of you such a request. But as the same regime is continuing resistance, very reluctantly we have been obliged to make such a request. We hope you will especially consider this point.

"(By this explanation, it seemed the Ambassador understood well).

"Our present request is based on the above circumstances and have no intention at all of transgressing on French Indo-China territory. When you transfer this official draft, will you also transfer the several points I have explained. I hope that the French Government will accept our request as it stands.

"The Ambassador: (He agreed to transfer the above request)."

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 3 October 1946, at 0930.)

(Whereupon, at 1630, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 3 October 1946, at 0930.)